

# HYPERPLANE ARRANGEMENTS OVER THE RING OF INTEGERS MODULO $n$

E. Obeahon

Faculty Advisors: S. Chebolu, P. Sissokho

Illinois State University Math Department

## Abstract

We study the structure of hyperplane arrangements over the ring of integers modulo  $n$ . The specific problem we are interested in is the problem of counting the number of points in the complement of the Threshold arrangement over a finite field, and the Complete (Resonance) arrangement over a ring.

## Introduction

Given positive integers  $d$  and  $n$ , an odd prime  $p$  and a finite field  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  with characteristic  $p$ , an affine hyperplane (or simply hyperplane) in a vector space  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$  is the set of all solutions to an equation of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^d a_i x_i = b$ , where  $a_i, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , and not all  $a_i$  equal to zero. A finite collection of hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$  is called a hyperplane arrangement (or arrangement). An arrangement  $H$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$  is called the Threshold arrangement if  $H = \{x_i + x_j = 0 : 1 \leq i < j \leq d\}$ . An arrangement  $L$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^d$  is called complete if  $L$  is the collection  $\{\sum_{x_i \in S} a_i x_i = 0 : S \text{ is nonempty and } S \subseteq \{x_i : 1 \leq i \leq d\}\}$ . As mentioned in the abstract, we are interested in the cardinality of the sets  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d \setminus H$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_n^d \setminus L$ . We denote  $\alpha_p^d(\text{threshold}) = |\mathbb{Z}_p^d \setminus H|$ ,  $\alpha_n^d = |\mathbb{Z}_n^d \setminus L|$ .

## Result

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $d$  be a positive integer, then there exists a monic polynomials  $f_d(x)$ ,  $h_d(x)$  of degree  $d$  with integer coefficients such that for any sufficiently large prime  $p$ ,  $\alpha_p^d(\text{threshold}) = f_d(p)$ ,  $\alpha_p^d = h_d(p)$ .

*Sketch of Proof.* Consider the  $\binom{d}{2}$  hyperplanes defined by the equations  $x_i + x_j = 0 : 1 \leq i < j \leq d$ ; that is, the threshold arrangement on  $\mathbb{Z}_n^d$ . For every non-empty subset  $P_i$  of these hyperplanes, let  $A_i$  be a matrix whose rows are the coefficients of hyperplanes contained in  $P_i$ . Then  $A_i$  defines the linear map:  $A_i : \mathbb{F}_p^d \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^{m_i}$

Where  $m_i = \#$  of hyperplanes in  $P_i$ . Then  $\text{Null}(A_i)$  is the intersection of the hyperplanes in  $P_i$ . Therefore, we have

$$\alpha_p^d(\text{threshold}) = p^d - \sum_{A_i \subseteq S} \pm \text{Null}(A_i)$$

Using the exclusion-inclusion principle, we have

$$\alpha_p^d(\text{threshold}) = p^d - \sum_{A_i \subseteq S} \pm \text{Null}(A_i)$$

Therefore,

$$\alpha_p^d(\text{threshold}) = p^d - \sum_{A_i \subseteq S} \pm p^{d-\text{rank}(A_i)}$$

We know that  $\text{rank}(A_i)$  is equal to the maximum order of a non-zero minor of  $A_i$ . But the set of all minors of  $A_i$  is finite. Therefore, if  $v_d$  is the maximum prime divisor of one of these minors of  $A_i$ , then for every  $p > v_d$ , we have  $\text{rank}(A_i)$  independent of  $p$ . Also,  $\text{rank}(A_i) \geq 1$  because the entries of  $A_i$  are not all zeros. Therefore,

$$\alpha_p^d(\text{threshold}) = O(p^d).$$

QED.

**Theorem 1.2** (R.P. Stanley). Let  $p$  be an odd prime, then the exponential generating function of  $\alpha_p^d(\text{threshold})$  is given by

$$\sum_{d \geq 0} \alpha_p^d(\text{threshold}) \frac{x^d}{d!} = (1+x)(2e^x - 1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$$

Let  $[x^n]f(x)$  denote the coefficient of  $x^n$  in  $f(x)$ , then from theorem 1.2, we see that

## Result

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_p^d(\text{threshold}) &= \left[ \frac{x^d}{d!} \right] (1+x)(2e^x - 1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \\ &= \sum_{r \geq 0} (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}-r} 2^r r^d \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{r \geq 0} (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}-r} 2^r d r^{d-1} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right) \\ &= \sum_{r \geq 0} (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}-r} 2^r r^{d-1} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right) (r+d) \end{aligned}$$

The last equation is a polynomial in  $p$  of degree  $d$ .

### Special Cases.

For  $d = 3$ : (1,6,4), we have

Let  $(f(x))^{(k)} = \frac{d^k}{dx^k} f(x)$ ,  $[f(x)]_{x=k} = f(k)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_p^3(\text{threshold}) &= \sum_{r \geq 0} (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}-r} 2^r \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right) [(x^r)^{(3)} + 6(x^r)^{(2)} \\ &\quad + 4(x^r)^{(1)}]_{x=1} \\ &= (p-1)(p-3)(p-5) + 6(p-1)(p-3) + 4(p-1) \\ &= (p-1)^3 \end{aligned}$$

For  $d = 4$ : (1,10,19,5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_p^4(\text{threshold}) &= \sum_{r \geq 0} (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}-r} 2^r \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right) [(x^r)^{(4)} + 10(x^r)^{(3)} \\ &\quad + 19(x^r)^{(2)} + 5(x^r)^{(1)}]_{x=1} \\ &= (p-1)(p-3)(p-5)(p-7) \\ &\quad + 10(p-1)(p-3)(p-5) + 19(p-1)(p-3) + 5(p-1) \\ &= p^4 - 6p^3 + 15p^2 - 17p + 7 \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 1.3.** Let the finite sequence  $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=0}^{d-1}$  be such that  $r^d + dr^{d-1} = [\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \alpha_k (x^r)^{(d-k)}]_{x=1}$ , then

$$\alpha_p^d(\text{threshold}) = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \alpha_k \prod_{r=1}^{d-k} (p - (2r-1))$$

*Proof.*  $\alpha_p^d(\text{threshold}) =$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r \geq 0} (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}-r} 2^r r^{d-1} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right) (r+d) \\ \sum_{r \geq 0} (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}-r} 2^r \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right) \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \alpha_k (x^r)^{(d-k)} \right]_{x=1} \\ = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \alpha_k 2^{d-k} \frac{p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{p-3}{2} \cdots \frac{p-2d+2k+1}{2} \\ = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \alpha_k \prod_{r=1}^{d-k} (p - (2r-1)) \quad \text{QED.} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, computing  $\alpha_p^d$  for all odd prime  $p$  is a problem reduced to computing the finite sequence  $\alpha_k$  which are the coefficients of  $(x^r)^{(d-k)}$  such that  $r^d + dr^{d-1} = [\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \alpha_k (x^r)^{(d-k)}]_{x=1}$ . In general, for  $d = d$ , let  $\alpha_{m,d}$  be such that  $r^d + dr^{d-1} = [\sum_{m=0}^{d-1} \alpha_{m,d} (x^r)^{(d-m)}]_{x=1}$  then

## Result

$$\begin{aligned} [r^k] \left[ \sum_{m=0}^{d-1} \alpha_{m,d} (x^r)^{(d-m)} \right]_{x=1}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq d-m \\ = \sum_{m=0}^{d-k} \alpha_{m,d} (-1)^{d-m-k} S_{d-m-k, d-m-1} \end{aligned}$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} S_{p,q} &= \sum_{0 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_p \leq q} a_1 a_2 \dots a_p \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_p \leq q} a_1 a_2 \dots a_p; \quad S_{0,q} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{0,d} = 1, \alpha_{1,d} = d + S_{1,d-1} = d + \frac{d(d-1)}{2} = \frac{d(d+1)}{2} \\ = S_{1,d} \end{aligned}$$

Also, for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, d-2$ , we have the following recurrence relation

$$\sum_{m=0}^{d-k} \alpha_{m,d} (-1)^{d-m-k} S_{d-m-k, d-m-1} = 0$$

$$\alpha_{k,d} = -\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{m,d} (-1)^{k-m} S_{k-m, d-m-1}; \quad 2 \leq k \leq d.$$

For  $d = 5$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{0,5} = 1; \alpha_{1,5} = 15; \alpha_{2,5} = -(\alpha_{0,5} S_{2,3} - \alpha_{1,5} S_{1,3}) = 55; \\ \alpha_{3,5}(\text{threshold}) = -(\alpha_{0,5} S_{3,4} + \alpha_{1,5} S_{2,3} - \alpha_{2,5} S_{1,2}) = 50; \\ \alpha_{4,5} = -(\alpha_{0,5} S_{4,4} - \alpha_{1,5} S_{3,3} + \alpha_{2,5} S_{2,2} - \alpha_{3,5} S_{1,1}) = 6 \\ \Rightarrow \alpha_p^5(\text{threshold}) \\ = (p-1)(p-3)(p-5)(p-7)(p-9) + 15(p-1)(p-3)(p-5)(p-7) \\ + 55(p-1)(p-3)(p-5) + 50(p-1)(p-3) + 6(p-1) \end{aligned}$$

## The Complete Arrangement

### Action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ on $\mathbb{Z}_n^d \setminus L$

Let  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$  denote the automorphism group of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , and  $L$ , the complete hyperplane arrangement on  $\mathbb{Z}_n^d$  where  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is the ring of integers modulo  $n$ . Then  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$  acts naturally on  $\mathbb{Z}_n^d \setminus L$  component-wise. Here, we will identify  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$  with the set  $\{k : 1 \leq k \leq n-1 \text{ and } \gcd(k, n) = 1\}$ . An element  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n^d \setminus L$  is called irreducible if  $\gcd(x, n) = 1$ , otherwise  $x$  is called reducible. Let  $\mathcal{R}_n^d$  (resp.  $I_n^d$ ) denote the sets of reducible (resp. irreducible) elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_n^d \setminus L$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{Z}_n^d \setminus L = \mathcal{R}_n^d \sqcup I_n^d$$

The following theorem shows that computing

$$\alpha_n^d = |\mathbb{Z}_n^d \setminus L| \text{ is equivalent to computing } \beta_n^d = |I_n^d|.$$

## Result

**Theorem 2.1** ([1]). Let  $n \geq 3$  and  $1 \leq d < n$  and consider the action of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_n^d \setminus L$ .

(a) For any  $k \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$  and any  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n^d \setminus L$ , we have  $k \in \text{Stab}(x)$  if and only if  $\frac{n}{\gcd(k-1, n)}$  divides  $\gcd(x, n)$ . In particular, if  $\gcd(x, n) = 1$ , then  $\text{Stab}(x) = 1$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n^d \setminus L$ .

(b) The number of orbits of the restricted action of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$  on  $I_n^d$  is equal to  $\frac{\beta_n^d}{\phi(n)}$ . Thus  $\phi(n)$  divides  $\beta_n^d$ .

(c)  $\alpha_n^d = \sum_{m|n, m \geq n} \beta_m^d$  and  $\beta_n^d = \sum_{m|n, m \geq n} \alpha_n^d \mu\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)$

(d) If  $d \geq \max\{m : 1 \leq m < n \text{ and } m|n\}$ , then  $\alpha_n^d = \beta_n^d$  and  $\phi(n)$  divides  $\alpha_n^d$ . Moreover, the conclusion of this statement holds if  $d \geq \frac{n}{2}$ .

**Determining  $\alpha_n^d$  for  $d > \frac{n}{2}$  or  $d \leq 3$ .**

We first determine  $\alpha_n^d$  for  $d > \frac{n}{2}$ . We will use the following important theorem based on Savchev and Chen.

**Theorem 2.2** ([2]). Every element  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n^d \setminus L$  of length  $d > \frac{n}{2}$  can be uniquely represented as  $(x_1 k, x_2 k, \dots, x_d k)$  where  $k$  generates  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  and  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d$  are positive integers whose sum is less than  $n$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $n \geq 3$  and  $d > n/2$ . Then,  $\alpha_n^d = \phi(n) \binom{n-1}{d}$ . *Sketch of Proof.* We consider the action of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_n^d \setminus L$ . Since  $d > n/2$  it follows from theorem 2.1(d) that  $\alpha_n^d = \phi(n)N$ , where  $N$  is the number of orbits under the action of  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ . So, it suffices to determine  $N$ . Thus,  $N$  is the number of ordered tuples  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)$  that satisfy  $\sum_{i=1}^d x_i < n$ . Thus,

$$N = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{j-1}{d-1} = \binom{n-1}{d}$$

Therefore,  $\alpha_n^d = \phi(n) \binom{n-1}{d}$ . QED.

**Corollary 1** For any positive integer  $k$ , we have  $\alpha_n^{n-k} = \phi(n) \binom{n-1}{k-1}$  for all large enough value of  $n$ . Moreover,

$$\liminf_n \frac{\phi(n+1)}{\phi(n)} = 0, \text{ and } \limsup_n \frac{\phi(n+1)}{\phi(n)} = \infty$$

## References

- [1] Sunil K. Chebolu and Papa A. Sissokho, Zero-sum free tuples and hyperplane arrangement, [arXiv:2201.01714 \[math.NT\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.01714). (2022).
- [2] S. Savchev and F. Chen, Long zero-free sequences in finite cyclic groups, *Discrete Math.* **307** (2007), 2671 – 2679.
- [3] Y. Caro, Zero-sum problems – a survey, *Discrete Math.* **152** (1993), 93–113.
- [4] W. Gao and A. Geroldinger, On the structure of zero free sequences, *Combinatorica.* **18** (no. 4) (1998), 519 – 527.
- [5] G.H. Hardy and E.M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, Oxford University press, 5th edition, 1980.
- [6] T. M. Apostol, *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1976.
- [7] V. Ponomarenko, Minimal zero sequences of finite cyclic groups, *Integers* **4** (2004), #A24.
- [8] R.P. Stanley, An introduction to hyperplane arrangement, in *Geometric Combinatorics* (E. Miller, V. Reiner, and B. Sturmfels, eds), IAS/Park City Mathematics Series, vol.13, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2007, 389 – 496.